IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 2485 /2001

PETITIONER : Mahavir Prasad Agrawal
S/o Jagatram Agrawal
Aged about 48 years,
R/o Ambikapur Road,
Patthalgaon, Distt.Jashpur Nagar
(Chhattisgarh).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) The State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Forest Department,
DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2) The Conservator of Forest,
Surguja Circle, Ambikapur.

3) The Prescribed Authority-cum-
Sub-Divisional Officer, Forest
Patthalgaon, Distt.Jashpur (CG)

Received
Rkm
26/11/01
Ag office

P.R. No. 2487
Presented by Shri S. Verma
dated 26/11/01

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

36

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2485/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10/12/2001 :-

Heard Shri P. Diwakar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Shrivastava, learned counsel for the State/respondent

It is stated that an order was obtained on 07/06/2000 to have the vehicle bearing registration No.MP-27B/0559 released in favour of the petitioner on executing a bond of Rupees Two lacs to the satisfaction of the authorised officer. In the same order it was stated that the petitioner shall also furnish an undertaking that he will not make addition/alteration to the vehicle. In the meantime, the proceeding in respect of the said vehicle is now pending before the appellate authority. The appellate authority is now insisting that the petitioner should produce the said vehicle before the appellate authority vide order dated 21/11/2001.

Shri Diwakar submits that it would be fair that the petitioner is allowed to continue to have the ~~necessity~~ custody of the said vehicle under the same terms and conditions and with the further undertaking that whenever required for specific purpose the petitioner is ready to produce.

Shri Rajiv Shrivastava prays that he be allowed sometime to obtain instructions.

In my view for this limited purpose no further time is necessary. In the result, this petition is disposed of with the direction that the petitioner shall be allowed to retain the aforesaid

पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	vehicle under the same terms and conditions incorporated in the order dated 07/06/2000 passed in Writ Petition No.3640 of 2000 till the matter is decided by the appellate authority. Sd/- Chief Justice	